

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,749	02/22/2002		Bo Johnson	30275-00006	8409	
7590 03/09/2004			EXAM	INER		
Mitchell P. B			MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB			
Suite 200 11988 El Camino Real				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA				1762		
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	٨				
Ť ·		10/080,749		JOHNSON, BO	Jb 1				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jennifer K N		1762					
	The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress				
Period for		on nen VIO cer To	A EVDIDE 4 MARITI	(S) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>22 February 200</u>	<u>2</u> .						
2a) 🗍	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims								
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
• —	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
o) <u>\</u>	Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restrict	on and/or blocken toq	•••						
• •	on Papers								
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.	ahiaatad ta bu th	- Evominor					
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	e Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		don SELLO C S 440	(a)_(d) or (f)					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summi Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,749

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28, drawn to a composition, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 29-32, drawn to a method of coating with hyaluronic acid and heparin, classified in class 427, subclass 2.1.
 - III. Claim 33, drawn to a method of coating with lysine and heparin, classified in class 427, subclass 402.
 - IV. Claims 34-37, drawn to a method of coating with hirudin, peptide, and heparin, classified in class 427, subclass 2.24.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II-IV are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product composition as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as injecting the composition into the body to treat embolism or clotting problems instead of coating onto a medical article.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,749 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

3. Inventions II-IV are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different functions and effects. A method of coating with hyaluronic acid and heparin is unrelated to a method of coating with lysine and heparin both of which are, in turn, unrelated to a method of coating with hirudin, peptide, and heparin.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Groups is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Examiner notes that for the purposes of this restriction, claims 5, 10, 16, 20, and 28 have been grouped with the composition claims, in which case the application of the composition to a medical device will be interpreted merely as intended use of the coating composition. If Applicant intended these claims to embody a medical device

Application/Control Number: 10/080,749

Art Unit: 1762

article with a coating thereon, separate independent claims to that effect should be filed as article claims. If such claims are filed, however, these article claims would be considered part of a separate group for the purposes of this restriction requirement.

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a base layer that may comprise either hyaluronic acid, poly-lysine, or peptide.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a biocompatible layer that may comprise polysaccharides, lipids, proteins, heparin, heparan sulfate, hirudin, and aprotinin.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of these groups for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. For example, if Applicant elects poly-lysine for the base layer and heparin for the biocompatible layer, from the choices in claim 1, claim 17 will also be readable thereon. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 10/080,749

Art Unit: 1762

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If Group IV, containing claim 34, is elected or if peptide is elected as the base layer in Group I, the following election of species requirement will also apply:

9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the arginine-glysine-aspargine-serine peptide and the arginine-glysine-aspargine-lysine peptide (see claims 25-26 and 36-37).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 21 and 34 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/080,749

Art Unit: 1762

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. Due to the complexity of the restriction requirement, a written requirement has been issued.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K Michener whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/080,749 Page 7

Art Unit: 1762

272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Kolb Michener

KM Milus

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

March 3, 2004